1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
3	* * *	
4	United States of America,	Citation Nos. VP006455/N4
5	Plaintiff,	VP002674/N4
6	v.	ORDER
7	Adam Dymski,	
8	Defendant.	
9		
10	The Court is in receipt of the Government's Motion in Limine and Mr. Dymski's	
11	response. The Court will defer its ruling until trial, when it has a better context to make this	
12	determination. It is worth noting, nonetheless, that for purposes of bench trials, the "more	
13	prudent course" is to resolve evidentiary doubts in favor of admissibility. See Commerce	
14	Funding Corp. v. Comprehensive Habilitation Servs., Inc., No. 01 Civ 3796 (PKL), 2004 WL	
15	1970144, at *5 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 3, 2004) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). "There	
16	is less need for the gatekeeper to keep the gate when the gatekeeper is keeping the gate only for	
17	himself." United States v. Brown, 415 F.3d 1257, 1269 (11th Cir. 2005).	
18		
19	DATED: August 3, 2022.	
20	Benbucken	
21	BRENDA WEKSLER	
22	UNI	ITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
<u>,</u>		